

FINNEYTOWN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
Special Meeting
Secondary Campus Media Center
October 16, 2019
6:00pm

The Finneytown Board of Education met in special session on Wednesday, October 16, 2019 in the Media Center of the Finneytown Secondary Campus, 8916 Fontainebleau Terrace, Cincinnati, OH 45231. Mrs. Rebman, Mr. Engleman and Ms. Johnson were present. The vice president called the meeting to order at 6:06pm.

81-19 Mr. Engleman moved, seconded by Ms. Johnson, the Board dispensed with the approval of the minutes from the regular meeting of September 16, 2019 and the special meeting of September 29, 2019. The vice president declared the motion passed.

Mr. Gast arrived at 6:14pm.

Presentations by Invited Guests Regarding Various Construction Methods

Bricker & Eckler

Mark Evans, Partner, Bricker & Eckler Construction Law group, gave a presentation on Project Delivery Methods Available to Public Owners: Figuring Out What Works Best for Your Project. Multiple Prime Contracting, General Contracting (GC), Construction Manager at Risk (CMR) and Design Build (DB) were explained from a construction attorney's perspective. The advantages of best value selection, preconstruction services and risk transfer associated with the CMR and DB delivery methods were emphasized.

SHP Leading Design

Allison McKenzie, Director of Sustainability, SHP Leading Design, gave a presentation on the Construction Delivery Matrix for Public Construction. Single Prime General Contracting (GC), Construction Manager at Risk (CMR) and Design Build (DB) were explained from an architectural firm's perspective. All three options can work on this project in terms of its characteristics and size. The ultimate selection depends upon what a district values most. Regional differences seem to favor the GC model in SW Ohio as the lowest cost. CMR and DB offer greater owner involvement and access to preconstruction management expertise, at some additional cost.

Skanska

A team from Skanska gave a presentation on the Matrix of Project Delivery Methods. Presenters included Jeff Smoker (VP Preconstruction), Chris Hopper (Executive VP General Manager), Shannan Plogsted (Preconstruction Director), Chris Soto (Senior Project Manager) and Marcus Thompson (Community Development Manager). Hard Bid Lump Sum/Single Prime/General Contracting, Construction Manager at Risk (CMR) and Design Build (DB) were explained from a project development and construction firm's perspective. The value of owner access to highly skilled preconstruction planning expertise and greater contractor selection flexibility, which are supposed to pay for themselves, was emphasized.


While selection of a delivery model is very important, selection of the construction management team is by far the more important factor to the ultimate success of a construction project.

Ohio Facility Construction Commission (OFCC) 2018 construction project delivery statistics: CMR 46%, Single Prime Lump Sum 31%, Design Build 23%, Multiple Prime 0%.

Board of Education Discussion

The board briefly discussed the pros and cons of the various delivery methods.

82-19 There being no further business, Ms. Johnson moved, seconded by Mr. Engleman, that the meeting be adjourned. The president declared the meeting adjourned at 8:45pm.



Tony Gast, President

ATTEST:



David Oliverio, Treasurer